



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,804	10/12/2001	Mario Vismara	163-350	9199
7:	590 05/18/2004		EXAMINER	
James V. Costigan, Esq.			KRAMER, DEVON C	
HEDMAN & COSTIGAN, P.C. Suite 2003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-2646			3683	
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	09/975,804	VISMARA, MARIO	S
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Devon C Kramer	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communic ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ap</u>	oril 2004.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		,	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are withdra	wn from consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	without consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2 5-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	:	
Application Papers		·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	·		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		:	
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in Applicati ty documents have been receive	on No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	* ***	ed.	
	r the column and place het reconst		٠
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/975,804

Art Unit: 3683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2) Claims 1-2 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goupy et al (4029350) in view of Carroll et al (6247745).

Goupy et al provides an impact adsorption device of the type comprising a honeycomb; where the honeycomb features a number of ribs that define respective outlets having a hexagonal section, terminating in holes in a lower part of the honeycomb; the honeycomb being injection-molded in a plastic material, wherein the device is combined with a deformation containment element (1) positioned around a longitudinal end of the honeycomb, the honeycomb has a taper (figure 9) at one of the ends and a deformation containment element capable of withstanding the stress of an impact and resulting lateral thrust generated by the impact, the element made of high resistance material, wrapped around the tapered end. The examiner takes official notice that the containment element must be made from a high resistance material in order for the impact device to operate correctly. The containment element of Goupy can be attached or be part of a vehicle. Goupy et al lacks the specific teaching of polypropylene or polycarbonate as a material used in an impact adsorption device. Please note that the containment element of Goupy et al would preferably be made of a

Application/Control Number: 09/975,804

Art Unit: 3683

high strength material in order to transmit an impact to the entire honeycomb structure.

IN figure 9, the containment element is completely around the honeycomb.

Carroll et al teaches the use of polypropylene and polycarbonate as a material used in an impact adsorption device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the impact adsorption device of Goupy with the material as taught by Carroll et al merely because the material as taught by Carroll et al is a alternate equivalent to that taught by Goupy.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/02/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments argue points which are not reflected in the claims. Applicant argues many aspects of the design choices that affected the material choices for the absorbing structure of the instant application. Please note that these steps are not reflected in the claims. Further, applicant's claims are too broad when referring to the outlets terminating in holes. Applicant should claim, "the ribs having a first end and a second end, the first end terminating at a respective outlet and the second end terminating in holes".

#### Conclusion

4) THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 3683

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C Kramer whose telephone number is 703-305-0839. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DK

DEERT A. SICONOLFI

BATENT EXAMINER